

Appendix 6.1

Table to clarify the status of the ES and other application documents

Table to clarify the status of the ES and other application documents

Document	Current status as of April 2020
Environmental Statement	
1 Introduction	Original + Changes in Regulation 22 Response #1 table 13.1 (references to drawings), + Change to para 1.20 in Regulation 22 Response #5 para 7.13 (Community Fund) + Errata
2 Background	Original + Changes in Regulation 22 Response #1 table 18.1 (re employees) + Update to Table 2.1 in para 18.4 of Regulation 22 Response #4 (LHQ contribution to Devon's aggregate supply)+ Errata
3 Project Description	Original + changes in Regulation 22 Response #1 para 4.3 and 4.4 (Scoping). and table 13.1 (references to drawings) + Regulation 22 Response #2 paras 2.6 and 2.7 (re Caton Cross) + changes in paras 54 to 57 of Other Information Document #2 (re replacement electricity connection) + Change to para 1.20 in Regulation 22 Response #5 para 7.13 + Errata.
4 Policy context	Original
5 Need	Original + changes in Regulation 22 Response #1 paras 2.5 to 2.20 (re assumptions), para 4.4 (Scoping), table 13.1 (references to drawings) and additional text in chapter 15 especially para 15.63 to 5.112 + Changes to para 5.58, paras 5.70 to 5.76, and 5.139 in Regulation 22 Response #5 para 7.13 + Errata
6 Alternatives	Revised version presented as Appendix 3.1 of Regulation 22 Response #1 + Regulation 22 Response #2 para 2.8 (re Caton Cross) + Errata
7 Approach to consideration of impacts and effects	Original + additional text in Regulation 22 Response #1 paras 4.61 to 4.69 + in Regulation 22 Response #4 table 13.1 (assessment scales and significance levels).
8 Landscape and visual effects	Revised version presented as Appendix 5.1 of Regulation 22 Response #4 + changes in Regulation 22 Response #1 table 13.1 (references to drawings) + Errata.
9 Economic and social effects	Original + changes in Regulation 22 Response #1 page 15 (Scoping) and extra economic text provided in chapter 15 especially paras 15.167 to 15.180 + in the Regulation 22 Response #4 at paras 4.12 to 4.31 (update on Development Plans), chapter 15 and paras 17.9 to 17.11 (Competition and Use of resources).
10 Ecology	Original + Further Information provided in Regulation 22 Response #1 in chapter 6 and Appendices 6.1 to 6.4 (further surveys and delivery mechanism) + in Regulation 22 Response #3 Chapter 6 and Appendix 6.2 (updated HRA) + in Regulation 22 Response #4 in chapter 6 and Appendix 6.1 (summary of Bat Assessments) and paras 13.49 to end of chapter 13 (clarification re hedgerows) + Appendix 2.2 of Reg 22 Response #5 (Eco-hydro assessment) + Errata.

Document	Current status as of April 2020
11 Effects on traffic and access	Original + changes in Regulation 22 Response #1 at page 16 (Scoping) and updated ES tables 11-2, 11-3 and 11-4 at pages 73-74 (re Balland Lane traffic survey), also in table 13.1 (references to drawings) and table 18.1 (re employees) + Changes in Regulation 22 Response #2 paras 2.9 to 2.13 (re Caton Cross).
12 Flood risk and effect on water resources	Revised version presented in Regulation 22 Response #5 Appendix 2.1
13 Noise and vibration assessment	Original + changes in Regulation 22 Response #1 on page 17 (Scoping), at para 4.14 (Scoping) and at paras 4.42 to 4.44 (Future Baseline).
14 Dust and air quality	Original + changes in Regulation 22 Response #1 on page 17 (Scoping), at paras 4.45 to 4.47 (Future Baseline), and at para 8.7 and Appendix 18.2 (updated monitoring results) + changes as per Appendix 4 of 'Other Information Document #1'.
15 Heritage assessment	Original + changes in Regulation 22 Response #1 at page 17 (Scoping), and commentary in Chapter 9 (+ Changes to Regulation 22 Response #1 in Regulation 22 Response #4 at paras 13.33 to 13.35).
16 Effects on agricultural land	Original + changes in Regulation 22 Response #1 on page 17 (Scoping), at paras 4.49 to 4.51 (Future Baseline) and Appendix 10.1 (Agricultural Land Classification Assessment).
17 Land stability	Revised version presented in Regulation 22 Response #5 Appendix 2.2.
18 Other impacts	Original + changes in Regulation 22 Response #1 at para 4.18 (Scoping) and at paras 4.53 to 4.57 (Future Baseline) + Regulation 22 Response #3 also drew attention to additional text re climate change in revised chapters 12 and 17 presented in Regulation 22 Response #3 Appendices 8.1 and 8.2 + Errata.
19 Sustainability	Original + Changes in Regulation 22 Response #1 in table 18.1 (employees) + Changes in Regulation 22 Response #2 para 2.14 (Caton Cross) + Change to para 19.10 and table 18-2 in Regulation 22 Response #5 para 7.13.
20 Assessment of cumulative effects	Original + changes in Regulation 22 Response #1 at page 18 (Scoping) + changes in Regulation 22 Response #2 para 2.15 (Caton Cross) + replacement ES Table 21.4 in Appendix 13.1 of Regulation 22 Response #4.
21 Summary and conclusions	Original, but Table 21.4 replaced by Revised Table 21.4 in Regulation 22 Response #4 Appendix 13.1. Can also add DMRB table 2.4 from Regulation 22 Response #4 para 13.21 and commentary from para 13.22.
ES Appendices	
Appendix 3D	Replaced by Appendix 3Da, presented in Appendix 3 of Other Information Document#2
Appendix 3G Public Access Intentions	Original + Errata
Appendix 8A LVIA	Revised version presented as Revised ES Appendix 8A in Regulation 22 Response #4 + Errata

Document	Current status as of April 2020
Appendix 8A1.3 Planning Policy, landscape character & designations	Revised version presented as Revised ES Appendix 8A1.3 in Regulation 22 Response #1 Appendix 18.1
Appendix 8A.2 (1-7) ZTVs	Revised version presented as Revised ES Appendix 8A.2 in Appendix 2 of Other Information document#2.
Appendix 8A. 6.1 Photomontages	Revised versions in App 13.1 of Regulation 22 Response #1.
Cross sections of bunds	Appendix 15.4 of Regulation 22 Response #1
Appendix 11 Transport Assessment	Updated tables 7-2, 7-3 and 7-4 in Regulation 22 Response #1 (Balland Lane traffic survey), also change in Regulation 22 Response #1 table 18.1 (employees).
Appendix 12A HIA 2016	Superseded by new Appendix 12C contained in this Reg 22 Response #5
Appendix 12B HIA 2018	Superseded by new Appendix 12C contained in this Reg 22 Response #5
Appendix 12C HIA 2020	Presented in this Regulation 22 Response #5
Appendix 16 Agricultural Land Classification Assessment	New Appendix contained in Appendix 10.1 of Regulation 22 Response #1 (Agricultural Land Classification Assessment).
Appendix 17 Land Stability Risk Assessment 2016	Updated by Appendix 17.2 presented in this Regulation 22 Response #5.
Appendix 17.1 Land Stability Risk Assessment 2018	Updated by Appendix 17.2 presented in this Regulation 22 Response #5.
Appendix 17.2 Land Stability Risk Assessment 2020	Presented in this Regulation 22 Response #5
Other Appendices	Original
Non Technical Summary	Updated NTS presented in this Regulation 22 Response #5, Appendix 1.2.
Application Drawings	
Balland Lane Proposed Improvement LINHAY-ATK-S0-C-DR-0001	Rev P2 Updated drawing provided in Appendix 17.5 of Regulation 22 Response #1.
Linhay Hill Quarry proposed extension stages 2 to 6 LINHAY-ATK-S2-Z-PL-2000 LINHAY-ATK-S3-Z-PL-3000 LINHAY-ATK-S4-Z-PL-4000 LINHAY-ATK-S5-Z-PL-5000 LINHAY-ATK-S6-Z-PL-6000	Updated drawing provided in Appendix 3.1 of Regulation 22 Response #5
Other Application Drawings	Original
Regulation 22 Responses	
Regulation 22 Response #1 Covering Document	Original + updated Table 2-2 provided in Regulation 22 Response #3 para 2.5 + changes to Chapter 9 re Heritage in Regulation 22 Response #4 paras 13.33 to 13.35 + change in para 58 of Other Information Document#2 (drawing references) + Change to para 4.29 in Regulation 22 Response #5 para 7.14 + Errata.
Regulation 22 Response #1 Appendices	Appendix 5.1 ZTV maps replaced by Revised Appendix 8A.2 (1-7) in Appendix 2 of Other Information Document#2. All other Appendices as Original.

Document	Current status as of April 2020
Regulation 22 Response #2 Covering Document	Original + Update to Regulation 22 Response #2 Nov 2018 + Errata.
Regulation 22 Response #2 Appendices (ES and NTS for Caton Cross)	Updates/changes in Update to Regulation 22 Response #2 and Revisions to Caton Cross ES dated Nov 2018 to Caton Cross ES chapters 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9, to Caton Cross ES NTS and to Caton Cross ES Appendices
Update to Regulation 22 Response #2 – and Revisions to Caton Cross Environmental Statement dated Nov 2018	Original
Regulation 22 Response #3 Covering Document	Superseded by Regulation 22 Response #5 except for paras 2.4 and 2.5 (updated table 2-2 of Regulation 22 Response #2), paras 8.7 and 8.8 (CEMP) and paras 8.12 to 8.14 (re UKCP18).Original + Errata
Regulation 22 Response #3 Appendices	Superseded by Regulation 22 Response #5 appendices, except for App 6.2 Updated Habitats Regulation Ass'ment
Regulation 22 Response #4 Covering Document	Original + Errata.
Regulation 22 Response #4 Appendices	Original, but see ES chapter 8, Appendix 8A LVIA and Appendix 8A.2 (1-7) above.
Regulation 22 Response #5	Original
Regulation 22 Response #5 Appendices	Original
Other Information provided by the Applicant	
Other Information Document #1	Paras 12 to 16 no longer relevant (re water balance).
Other Information Document #2	Paras 6 to 16 no longer relevant (re hydrological conceptual model)
Other documents in the application	
Planning Statement	Original, but revised chapter 7 (Alternatives) presented in Appendix 3.2 of Regulation 22 Response #1 + changes in paras 54 to 56 of Other Information Document#2 (re replacement electricity connection) + Errata.
Land Contamination Report	Original
Outline Restoration Strategy	Original
Outline Land Management Strategy	Original
Statement of Community Involvement	Original
Habitats Regulation Assessment	Updated version presented in Regulation 22 Response #5 Appendix 2.2.
Updated Habitats Regulation Assessment	Original in Reg 22 Response #3 Appendix 6.2
Flood Risk Assessment	Original

Appendix 6.2

Updated Errata

Appendix 6.2

Updated cumulative Errata

A number of typos and other errors have been noted in the original ES and subsequent Regulation 22 Responses. Many of these were pointed out in the Errata Appendices of the Regulation 22 Responses #1 and #4, and of the Other Information Documents #1 and #2. All Errata Appendices are cumulative, so it is only necessary to refer to the latest version. An update to the Errata is provided below. **Highlighted entries are those which have been identified in the previous Errata.** Double crossed through entries in italics (~~thus~~) have been corrected in updated versions of the relevant parts of the ES.

Cumulative Errata

ES part 1 Errata

ES para 1.3 last sentence should read:

'...and where applicable, identify mitigation measures to avoid, reduce, remedy or compensate for adverse impacts, or to create or enhance environmental and social benefits.'

ES para 2.1 first sentence should read: 'Quarrying at Linhay Hill started pre in the 18th 19th Century.'

ES Para 2.3 Reference to DNP Boundary Review in 1994, should have been 1993.

ES Chapter 3 and 5, all references to **Kestor** Brook should be to **Kester** Brook.

Table 3.1, Stage 0 first column add 'Improvements to Caton Cross'.

~~ES Para 3.62 and ES Para 8.330. Reference to route of replacement electricity lines in Appendix 3C. This should have been reference to Appendix 3D (equivalent error in Planning Statement Para 3.77).~~

ES para 3.122 second sentence should read: 'Mobile plant, positioned initially within the void, but subsequently on the ~~new~~ fully capped part of the Balland Pond, will be used to process the limestone.'

ES para 3.123 last sentence should read: 'Hence the placement of the plant on the capped ~~part of the~~ Balland Pond is expected to be subject to the terms of a condition requiring prior approval before it can take place.'

ES para 3.132 second sentence should read: 'The Restoration Strategy includes making an area of approximately 1 hectare available in the south west part of the quarry on the ~~now~~ fully capped former settling pond.'

Regulation 22 Response #1 page 14, changes to chapter 5 – Need, under sub-heading 'Introduction and Scoping' should have said: 'Add a new paragraph above para 6.1 of the original ES' (not '...above para 3.1...').

ES para 5.106. Reference to figure showing planned development levels in Devon to 2031. This should have been to 2036.

ES Chapter 6 table 6-3/Table 6-2 in Revised ES Chapter 6 (presented in Regulation 22 Response #1 Appendix 3.1). The unit of volume for overburden is in thousands of m³, not m³.

~~ES Para 6.110~~ ES para 6.61 in revised version of ES chapter 6 (presented in Regulation 22 Response #1 Appendix 3.1) Reference to 'Appendix 6.D'. This should have been reference to 'Appendix 3F'.

ES part 2 Errata

ES Para 8.35. Reference to 'Appendix 12.A4' for the viewpoint location plan and reference to 'Appendix A4' in para 8.35 in the revised version of Chapter 8 (presented in Regulation 22 Response #4 Appendix 5.1). These should have been reference to Appendix 8A.3

~~ES Para 8.40. Reference to the created ZTV maps. This should have been reference to Appendix 8A.2~~

~~All Appendix references in Chapter 8, should be to Appendix 8xx, (not Appendix 12xx)~~

ES Para 8.50 delete 'Thus for those areas within which the ZTV diagram indicates that the feature may be visible, (colour shaded areas).'

ES Para 8.96 last sentence should read: 'The higher central sections of the upgrade to Wayne Lane follow the line of an unsurfaced farm track passing through agricultural land in a narrow but not deep valley behind the tip.'

~~Throughout chapter 8 'Wholster' should be 'Welster'~~

~~ES Para 8.147 should cross reference table 3, not table 4.~~

ES Para 8.315 should read; The existing north to south hedgerow running along the western eastern edge of the extension area, will be retained throughout to retain mature aspects of existing landscape structure, both during the operation period as well as following final restoration. This thick mature hedgerow will also act as an important screen to the operations during the initial Stages until the Stage 1b and 2a/2b bunds are completed.

~~ES para 8.330 Reference to drawings of route of replacement electricity lines in Appendix 3C, should have been in Appendix 3D.~~

ES chapter 8 (original and revised versions) Table 17, description of 'Residual visual effects on views from the north east at Stage 0' construction phase for Penpark should read 'Potential glimpsed views of Alston access construction works from rear of property'.

ES Chapter 8 (Revised version presented in the Regulation 22 Response #4), para 8.485 should read:

'On completion of quarrying at Linhay Hill Quarry, the quarry plant will be removed and dewatering will cease, the void will naturally fill with water up to the level of the underlying water table to form an open water body. The levels around the edge of the lake will be shallow because the sides of the quarry are stepped, or 'benched' and will be developed to create a variety of habitats for nature conservation, such as shallow water, ponds, and wetlands, depending on aspect and space available. The benches themselves will have been progressively landscaped and restored during the preceding stages. The area of the former Balland pond to the west of the quarry will be transformed into a public amenity area with some small scale informal recreational facilities and activities provided. The extensive areas of native broadleaved woodland and new and translocated hedgerows and new and existing hedgerow trees will continue to undergo long term management.'

ES Chapter 8 (Revised version presented in the Regulation 22 Response #4), para 8.509, 5th bullet point, concerning significance of visual effect at Stage 5, should have read:

Residents and B&B guests at Penpark (High Sensitivity) resulting in **slight/moderate adverse significance**

ES Chapter 8 (Revised version presented in the Regulation 22 Response #4), Table 39 - Significance of Residual Landscape Effects at Stages 0 to 6, the 4th row on page 8-144 relating to Significance of Residual Effect on Landscape Elements at Stage 6, should have read '**Slight Beneficial Significance**', not 'Slight/ Moderate Beneficial

Significance’.

NOTE: This corrected wording correctly reflects the text in para 8.486 which states the significance of effects on landscape elements at Stage 6 are judged to be; **slight beneficial significance**. ~~The wording is correctly presented in the Revised NTS which is in the Regulation 22 Response #4 Appendix 1.2 and~~ The significance finding is correctly entered in Revised Table 21.4 in Regulation 22 Response #4 Appendix 13.1. The wording is correctly presented in the Revised NTS which is in the Regulation 22 Response #5 Appendix 1.2.

ES Chapter 10 Para 10.100 ‘The site boundary partially overlaps with Little Barton Fields UWS which lies immediately adjacent to and north of the Extension Area’, should read ‘The site boundary is a short distance to the north of the site boundary is Little Barton Fields UWS.’ (This text was written before the extension proposals were changed to exclude the stage 3 and 4 bunds).

ES part 3 Errata

~~ES Chapter 12, all references to **Koctor Brook** should be to **Koctor Brook**.~~

~~In ES Chapter 17, para 17.1 last sentence should have said ‘The Land Stability Risk Assessment is presented in Appendix 17A’ (not Appendix 12A). **Note: this Appendix is now largely superseded by the Revised Land Stability Risk Assessment presented as a new ES Appendix 17.1 in the Regulation 22 Response #3.**~~

~~Revised ES Chapter 17, para 17.57 should have read: ‘Atkins understands the cave was partially infilled with concrete as part of the construction works.’~~

~~Revised ES Chapter 17 table 17.5 entry for receptor A38 on page 17.32 in column headed ‘Existing factors likely to affect the local occurrence of sinkholes’ the 3rd sentence should have read: ‘A cavity was encountered during construction of the A38 south west of the quarry, reportedly partially infilled with concrete.’~~

~~Revised ES Chapter 17, para 17.127 should have read: ‘The finding is that for most receptors the combination of qualifying factors together with implementation of the drainage control and other mitigation measures the quarry extension and deepening proposals are assessed residual land stability effects of **slight or neutral significance**. The exception was identified for the dwellings at Alston Farm and Alston Cottage for which the residual land stability effects were found to be of **medium significance**.’~~

~~NB. This amended wording correctly reflects the findings of the Land Stability Assessment ES Chapter 17 in paras 17.112 to 17.118 and Table 17.5. The wording is correctly presented in the Revised NTS which is in the Regulation 22 Response #4 Appendix 1.2 and the significance finding is correctly entered in Revised Table 21.4 in Regulation 22 Response #4 Appendix 13.1.~~

In ES Chapter 18, Figures 17-1 and 17-3 should have been Figures 18-1 and 18-3 respectively.

ES Appendices Errata

In ES Appendix 3G Public Access Intentions Row E of the table should have read ‘Pedestrian Access to the new permanent bunds.’

ES Appendix 8A LVIA (original and revised versions) Tables 19 and 43 description of ‘Residual visual effects on views from the north east at Stage 0’ construction phase for Penpark should read ‘Potential glimpsed views of Alston access construction works from rear of property’.

ES Appendix 8A LVIA (Revised version presented in the Regulation 22 Response #4), para 10.22 should read

'On completion of quarrying at Linhay Hill Quarry, the quarry plant will be removed and dewatering will cease, the void will naturally fill with water up to the level of the underlying water table to form an open water body. The levels around the edge of the lake will be shallow because the sides of the quarry are stepped, or 'benched' and will be developed to create a variety of habitats for nature conservation, such as shallow water, ponds, and wetlands, depending on aspect and space available. The benches themselves will have been reprofiled (in the extension area) and progressively landscaped and restored during the preceding stages. The area of the former Balland Pond to the west of the quarry will be transformed into a public amenity area with some small-scale informal recreational facilities and activities provided. The extensive areas of native broadleaved woodland and new and translocated hedgerows and new and existing hedgerow trees will continue to undergo long term management.'

ES Appendix 8A LVIA (Revised version presented in the Regulation 22 Response #4), para 10.48, 5th bullet point, concerning significance of visual effect at Stage 5, should have read:

'Residents and B&B guests at Penpark (High Sensitivity) resulting in slight/moderate adverse significance.'

ES Appendix 8A LVIA (Revised version presented in the Regulation 22 Response #4), Table 68 - *Significance of Residual Landscape Effects at Stages 0 to 6*, the last row on page 197 relating to Landscape Elements of Stage 6, should have read '**Slight Beneficial Significance**', not '**Slight/ Moderate Beneficial Significance**'.

NOTE: This corrected wording correctly reflects the text in para 10.23 which states the significance of effects on landscape elements at Stage 6 are judged to be; **slight beneficial significance**. The wording is correctly presented in the Revised NTS which is in the Regulation 22 Response #4 Appendix 1.2 and the significance finding is correctly entered in Revised Table 21.4 in Regulation 22 Response #4 Appendix 13.1.

ES Appendix 10.1a on page 1/75. 4th paragraph. Second sentence should read:

The closest non-statutory wildlife site identified was Little Barton Fields Unconfirmed Wildlife Site (UWS) which ~~was noted to lie immediately adjacent to and lies a short distance to the north~~ of the site (covering part of Alston Wood and adjacent fields to the north-east). (This text was written before the extension proposals were changed to exclude the stage 3 and 4 bunds).

Appendix 10.1a Para 4.1.2, second sentence should read

The closest non-statutory wildlife site is Little Barton Fields UWS which lies ~~immediately adjacent to and~~ a short distance to the north of the Site.' (This text was written before the extension proposals were changed to exclude the stage 3 and 4 bunds).

ES Appendix 10.1a, Section 3.2 'Stace (1997)' should be replaced with 'Stace (2010)', and in the References, 'Stace C, 1997. New Flora of the British Isles. University Press, Cambridge' should be replaced with 'Stace C, 2010. New Flora of the British Isles. University Press, Cambridge'

ES Appendix 10.1a Section 4.2.2 Marshy Grassland '*Lesser Spearwort Ranunculus ficaria*' should be replaced with '*Lesser Spearwort Ranunculus flammula*'.

ES Appendix 10.1a Section 4.2.3 Woodland, and Appendix B Target Note 27 '*Horse Chestnut Acer hippocastanum*' should be replaced with '*Horse Chestnut Aesculus hippocastanum*'.

ES Appendix 10.1a Section 4.2.3 Woodland, and Appendix 10.1a Appendix B Target Note 27 '*Larch Larix europaeus*' should be replaced with '*European Larch Larix decidua*'.

ES Table 10.7 Marshy Grassland, and Appendix 10.1a pg 48, pg 62, pg 63 & pg 65,

and Appendix 10.1i pg 57 & pg 58 '*Juncus effuses*' should be replaced with '*Juncus effusus*'.

ES Appendix 10.1i Appendix C Table 1 '*Malus domestica*' should be replaced with '*Malus pumila*'.

ES Appendix 10.1i, Section 4.2.2 Marshy Grassland '*Trifolium palustre*' should be replaced with '*Lotus pedunculatus*'.

ES paras 10.42 & 10.107, and Appendix 10.1i Section 4.1.4 '*Primula veris*' should be replaced with '*Primula vulgaris*'.

ES Table 10.7 Marshy Grassland, Appendix 10.1i Section 4.2.2 Marshy Grassland, and Appendix C Table 1 'Carnation Sedge' should be replaced with 'Common Sedge'

ES Appendix 10.1i Appendix C Table 1 '*Anagallis tenellus*' should be replaced with '*Anagallis arvensis*'.

ES Appendix 10.1i Appendix C Table 1 '*Aesculus hippocastanum*' should be replaced with '*Castanea sativa*'.

ES Appendix 10.1i Appendix C Table 1 '*Daucus carota* agg' should be replaced with '*Daucus carota* Ssp. *carota*'.

ES Appendix 10.1a pg 25 & pg 63 and Appendix 10.1i pg 24 & P59 '*Galium mollugo*' should be replaced with '*Galium album*'.

ES Appendix 10.1a pg 27, pg 58, pg 62 & pg 64 '*Leontodon autumnalis*' should be replaced with '*Scorzoneroideis autumnalis*'

ES Appendix 10.1i Section 4.2.2 and Appendix C Table 1 'Water Parsnip *Berula erecta*' should be replaced with Fool's Water-cress *Apium nodiflorum*'.

ES Appendix 10.1i Figure 6: The more northern occurrence of 'WH9' should be replaced with 'WH19'

ES Appendix 10.1a pg 64, Appendix 10.1i pg 21 & pg 56 'Ivy *Hedera helix*' should be replaced with 'Atlantic Ivy *Hedera hibernica*'.

ES Appendix 10.1a pg 25, pg 49, pg 58 & pg 64, and Appendix 10.1i pg 21, pg 56, pg 59 & pg 71 '*Dryopteris affinis*' should be replaced with '*Dryopteris affinis* agg.'

ES Chapter 10 paras 10.140, Appendix 10.1a pg 19 and Appendix 10.1i pg 18 '*Anagallis fragilis*' should be replaced with '*Anguis fragilis*'.

~~In ES Appendix 12B the third paragraph on page 30 should have read:~~

~~'Groundwater was observed to rise above ground level in NW1D and a standpipe extension was fitted. During post field work visits groundwater was measured to be at approximately 1.6 m above ground in NW1D. The groundwater rise in the remaining boreholes ranged between 13.2 m and 68.1 m. The high groundwater rise and deep water strikes during drilling indicate that the conduits at depth are hydraulically isolated from not well connected to the shallow limestone and epikarst.'~~

~~In ES Appendix 12B HIA 2018, the final two sentences on page 40 should have read:~~

~~'During dry periods, typically in the summer, the inflow reduces to a trickle is reduced, but remains throughout the monitoring period. This indicates that the inflow from the conduit is dependent depends primarily on rainfall, and that the storage within the conduit system is low but that groundwater storage provides a sustained component of flow during dry conditions.'~~

~~In ES Appendix 12B HIA 2018 reference to MP2 in Table 3-18 on page 75 should have been a reference to MP1. So the entry in Table 3-18 should have read 'downstream of MP1'~~

~~In ES Appendix 12B HIA 2018 reference to MP2 in para 3.6.2.3 second paragraph, last sentence on page 77 should have been a reference to MP1. So the phrase in the last~~

~~sentence should have read '...and recharge and runoff in the catchment downstream of MP1...'~~

~~In ES appendix 12B all references to Kestor Brook should be to Kestor Brook.~~

ES Regulation 22 Responses covering reports Errata

In the Regulation 22 Response #1, paras 5.17, 5.18, and 5.19 should have said 'DLCA' instead of 'DCLA'.

In the Regulation 22 Response #1, para 5.31 should have cross referred to '...chapter 4 at para 4.49 above', not '...chapter 4 at para 4.46 above'.

In the Regulation 22 Response #1, page 136, para 15.150 should have cross referred to the answer to question H2 at paras 15.142 to 15.149, not paras 15.137 to 15.144.

Regulation 22 Response #1 page 167, Updated ES Table 18-1 Results of Dust Deposition Monitoring, should have been 'Updated ES Table **14-1**'.

In the Regulation 22 Response #2 contents page should have included 'Appendix B, NTS'

NB. Appendix B is listed at the end of the Regulation 22 Response #2.

~~In Regulation 22 Response #3 Changes to ES Appendices, 'New ES Appendix 17.1 Land Stability Risk Assessment 2028' should have been 'New ES Appendix 17.1 Land Stability Risk Assessment 2018'.~~

Regulation 22 Response #4 paras 4.26 – 4.28 insert 'Draft' before 7th Devon LAA, or before 7th LAA. **Note. The Draft 7th Devon LAA was subsequently issued without changes to the quoted passages.**

Regulation 22 Response #4, page 72 para 18.3, last word of line 1 should have read 'those' instead of 'tghose' and in line 2 'fpr' should have been 'for'.

Planning Statement Errata

Chapters 3,4 and 13, all references to **Kestor** Brook should be to **Kester** Brook.

Para 3.1 first sentence should read: 'Quarrying at Linhay Hill started ~~pre~~ in the 18th 19th Century.'

Para 3.3 Reference to DNP Boundary Review in 1994, should have been 1993.)

Table 3.1, Stage 0 first column add 'Improvements to Caton Cross'.

Para 3.136 second sentence should read: 'Mobile plant, positioned initially within the void, but subsequently on the ~~now fully~~ capped part of the Balland Pond, will be used to process the limestone.'

Para 3.137 last sentence should read: 'Hence the placement of the plant on the capped part of the Balland Pond is expected to be subject to the terms of a condition requiring prior approval before it can take place.'

Para 3.146 second sentence should read: 'The Restoration Strategy includes making an area of approximately 1 hectare available in the south west part of the quarry on the now fully capped former settling pond.'